RFC 6-Mediterranean Corridor
UIC/FIATA Rail Group Meeting

Vienna 24th April 2015
The provisions of this Chapter shall be without prejudice to the governance structures set out in Regulation (EU) No 913/2010.

Article 1
Purpose and scope
1. This Regulation lays down rules for the establishment and organization of international rail corridors for competitive rail freight with a view to the development of a European rail network for competitive freight. It sets out rules for the selection, organization, management and the indicative investment planning of freight corridors. Enhance the market share of rail freight transport.
Regulation 913/2010

Rail Freight Corridor 6

Almería-Valencia/Madrid-Zaragoza/Barcelona-Marseille- Lyon-Turin-Milan-Verona-Padua/Venice-Trieste/Koper- Ljubljana-Budapest-Zahony (Hungarian-Ukrainian border)

Deadline for implementation 10th November 2013
Regulation 913/2010

**Article 8**

Governance of freight corridors
- Executive board (Composed of Ministers)
- Management board (Composed of Infrastructure Managers & Allocation Bodies)
- Advisory Groups (Railway undertakings and terminal managers/owners)

**Article 9**

Implementation Plan (including Transport Market Study)
Obligations

Article 13

- One-stop shop for requests of capacity allocation;
- The management board for a freight corridor shall designate or set up a joint body for applicants to request and to receive answers, in a single place and in a single operation, regarding infrastructure capacity for freight trains crossing at least one border along the freight corridor.
- Reserve Capacity;
- PAPS;
**Regulation 913/2010**

**Article 16**
- Traffic management

**Article 18**
- CID (Corridor Information Document)

**Article 19**
- Quality of service on the freight corridor
- Performances (indicators and objectives – report once a year);
The Executive Board of Rail Freight Corridor 6 was established through an administrative agreement signed in Brussels on 11th March 2013 by the Ministries of Transport of Spain, France, Italy, Slovenia and Hungary. Through this agreement the involved Ministries decided to take over all the tasks and responsibilities of the Executive Board of the ERTMS Corridor D, as instituted by the letters of intent of 12 December 2006 and 12 April 2007. The Executive Board of Rail Freight Corridor 6 is chaired by the Ministry of Transport of France.
State of play
Management Board – EEIG General Assembly

State of the art: April 2012 Setting Up of Rail freight Corridor 6 Management Board
State of play
Permanent Management Office

A Permanent Management Office was set up in Milan (Italy) in a RFI fenced area during summer 2013 for corridor management, leaded by the Italian partner RFI, to implement the Rail Freight Corridor 6 and to ensure the functioning of the EEIG.

- Managing Director: Mr. Andrea Galluzzi;
- Infrastructure Advisor: Mr. István Pákozdi;
- One Stop Shop Leader: Mr. Pierre Chauvin;
- Administrative Assistant: Mrs. Giulia Gargantini;
- Advisory support;
RFC 6 Mediterranean Corridor Achievements
2013 Setting Up - 2014 Strengthening and documents update

- C-OSS Setting Up
- CID Drafting
- Implementation Plan

- Reserve Capacity 2014
- OSS Procedure
- Web site

- Book n. 1 - Introduction
- Book n. 2 – N.S.
- Book n. 3 - TM Info
- Book n. 4 - Capacity & TM
- Book n. 5 - IP

- TMS
- CID

10th November 2013 – 10th November 2014

- Time table 2015/2016

14th January 2014
12th January 2015
14th April 2014
13th April 2015
Objective

All possible (present and future) Clients (RUs and AAs) To be made aware of:

- Opportunity and advantages of the regulation;
- Commercial Offer of RFC 6;
- Procedures to be used to book PAPS
- IT tools - PCS;
- Timing;
TOTAL NUMBER OF REQUESTS: 37

NUMBER OF RUS AND AAS: 8

TOTAL NUMBER OF PAP SECTIONS OFFERED: 140

TOTAL NUMBER OF PAP SECTIONS REQUESTED: 60 (42%)

PAP sections

- Offer
- Requests
OSS State Of Play TT 2016 Figures

- Number of requests received at COSS (37 in 2015)
- Increase of request of more than 100% (2016/2015)
- Number of conflicting requests
  - 4 with RFC2
  - 2 with consultation process
  - 9 without possible alternatives proposal
- Number of alternative proposals (Italy)
- Requests together with RFC2
  - 10
- Requests together with RFC7
  - 4
- Customers requesting capacity
  - 10
Customer Satisfaction Survey RFC6

- **19 respondents**
  - 19 RFC6 users / 0 non-users
  - 19 full interviews / 0 partial interviews
  - 7 nominated by RFC6 / 12 nominated by other RFCs
  - 4 agreed to forward name;

- Computer Aided Web Interviews (CAWI);

- Contacts (e-mail address) delivered by RFCs;

- 35 e-mail invitations sent;

- Field Phase: 3 September to 6 October 2014
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>Availability of C-OSS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>Adequacy of network of lines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>RAG meetings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>Information on RFCX website</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>Communication with management board, except RAG meetings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>Information from operation centers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>Handling of complaints within RFC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>PCS overall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>Helpfulness of traffic management by infrastructure managers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>Representation in RFC governance structure (RAG/TAG)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>Availability/know-how of performance manager</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>Business know-how of C-OSS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>Comprehensibility of CID</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>Content of CID</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>Provision of terminals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>Structure of CID</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>Usability of PCS - selection of remaining capacity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>Infrastructure standards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>Overall offers by C-OSS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>Brochures of RFCX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>Supply of terminal information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>Usability of PCS - selection of PAPs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>Usefulness of information in case of disturbances</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>Involvement of RU in coordination process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>Performance reports</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>Newsletters of RFCX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>Value of information in list of works</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>Measures to improve punctuality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>Usability of PCS - display of remaining capacity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>Granularity of list of works</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>Process of conflict solving by C-OSS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>Annual report of RFCX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>PAP reserve capacity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>Result of allocation process by C-OSS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>PAP parameters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>Usability of PCS - display of PAP-offer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>Usability of PCS - modification/post-processing of PAPs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>PAP quantity (number of paths)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>Origin/destinations and middle stops in PAP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>PAP schedule (adequate travel/departure/arrival times)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Summary - Satisfaction Rating**

- **Top 10 aspects**
  - Availability of C-OSS
  - Adequacy of network of lines
  - RAG meetings
  - Information on RFCX website
  - Communication with management board, except RAG meetings
  - Information from operation centres
  - Handling of complaints within RFC
  - PCS overall
  - Helpfulness of traffic management by infrastructure managers
  - Representation in RFC governance structure (RAG/TAG)

- **Bottom 10 aspects**
  - Usability of PCS - display of remaining capacity
  - Granularity of list of works
  - Process of conflict solving by C-OSS
  - Annual report of RFCX
  - PAP reserve capacity
  - Result of allocation process by C-OSS
  - PAP parameters
  - Usability of PCS - display of PAP-offer
  - Usability of PCS - modification/post-processing of PAPs
  - PAP quantity (number of paths)
  - Origin/destinations and middle stops in PAP
  - PAP schedule (adequate travel/departure/arrival times)
Lessons Learnt

- Acknowledgment of the Commitment of Corridor management, IMs and Abs in the setting up of the Corridor Governance and Operational activities recognized (Governance, procedures, OSS, TAG RAG, communication, web site etc);

- Acknowledgment of the Commitment of Corridor management, IMs and ABs in the drafting and presentation of the Official documents but room for improvements);

- Better involvement of RU in the Capacity offer building;

- Capacity offer to be improved either in terms of Quantity and Quality (TT and RC);

- Other issues to be further analyzed more related to general railway transport;
Extension to Croatia
10th November 2016
RFC 6 Mediterranean Corridor

Next steps

- Extension of the EEIG to Croatian Infrastructure manager 2016;
- Strengthening of Traffic management procedures 2015-2016;
- Monitoring and update of the Implementation Plan and Corridor Information Document 2015-2016 (including the update of Transport Market Study);
- Commercial strengthening of the Corridor (One Stop Shop) 2015-2016
  - Including a last mile survey on the Corridor;
- Support to the realization of European Rail Network of freight corridors 2015-2016;
  - European Framework for Capacity Allocation;
  - Harmonization of operational procedures;
  - Organization of TAG RAG Common meeting in cooperation with other Corridors;
- Communication 2015-2016;
Relaunch of ERTMS Activity

- **Team Leader:** Mr Stefano Marcoccio

- **New RFC 6 Activity**

  - Collection of National requirements to be exported to ERTMS (no deviation from Technical Specification of ERTMS);
  - Definition of an harmonized authorization procedure for putting in service (tracksid cross border section-on board) along the corridor in strong cooperation with the involved NSAs (National Safety Authorities);
  - Harmonized operational rules along the corridor;
  - Operational test case definition and management;
  - Bilateral activities;
  - Exchange of technical results from National ERTMS Pilot lines (eg. Italian and Slovenian Pilot lines);
Thank you!